

Subtyping

Consider n-ary products $\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$

$$\frac{\forall i, \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i}{(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n}{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \pi_i e : \tau_i \\ \uparrow \\ \text{ith projection} \end{array}}$$

$$fst \equiv \lambda x : int \times int. \pi_1 x$$

$$fst3 \equiv \lambda x : int \times int \times int. \pi_1 x$$

...

$fst (1, 2, 3)$ not well-typed but safe.

$\tau \leq \tau'$ "τ is a subtype of τ'"

"Anything that expects a τ' can accept a τ."

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad \tau \leq \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau'} \text{ (SUB)} \quad \text{"Anything of } \tau \text{ can behave like } \tau' \text{"}$$

↪ "Subsumption rule"

$$\frac{}{\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \times \dots \times \tau_{n+k} \leq \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n} \text{ (SUB-WIDTH) "width subtyping"}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdash (1, 2, 3) : int \times int \times int \\ \vdash (1, 2) : int \times int \end{array}}{\vdash fst (1, 2, 3) : int}$$

Can we type $\vdash fst3 (1, 2)$? No.

$int \times int \neq int \times int \times int$

$$fst \circ fst \cong \lambda x. (int \times int) \times int . \pi_1 (\pi_1, x)$$

$$\frac{\cdot \vdash fst \circ fst : (int \times int) \times int \quad \cdot \vdash ((1,2,3), 4) : (int \times int) \times int}{\cdot \vdash fst \circ fst ((1,2,3), 4)}$$

$$\frac{\forall i. \tau_i <: \tau'_i}{\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n <: \tau'_1 \times \dots \times \tau'_n} \text{ (SUB-DEPTH)} \quad \text{"Depth Subtyping"}$$

$$\frac{\cdot \vdash ((1,2,3), 4) : (int \times int \times int) \times int \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(int } \times \text{int } \times \text{int} <: \text{ int } \times \text{int} \quad \text{int } <: \text{ int} \\ \text{(int } \times \text{int } \times \text{int}) \times \text{int} <: \text{ (int } \times \text{int}) \times \text{int} \end{array} \text{ (SUB)}}{\cdot \vdash ((1,2,3), 4) : (int \times int) \times int} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(Width)} \\ ? \end{array} \quad \text{(Depth)}$$

Subtyping should be reflexive (and transitive)

2 ways to do:

1. Set up rules carefully so it's true

$$\frac{}{\text{unit } <: \text{ unit}} \quad \frac{}{\text{int } <: \text{ int}} \quad \dots \quad - \text{ easier to implement}$$

2. Add explicit rules

$$\frac{}{\tau <: \tau} \text{ (SUB-RFL)}$$

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_2 \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_3}{\tau_1 <: \tau_3} \text{ (SUB-TRANS)}$$

Easier in theory

$\text{doprod} \triangleq \lambda f. (\text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}, \lambda x. \text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int} \cdot f x$

$\text{doprod} : ((\text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

Is $\text{doprod} \text{ fst } 3 (1, 2, 3, 4)$ w.t?
Yes. $\text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int} \leq \text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int}$

Is $\text{doprod} \text{ fst } 3 (1, 2)$ w.t.? No.

Is $\text{doprod} \text{ fst } (1, 2, 3)$ w.t?

No. We haven't defined subtyping on functions.
But should it be?

$(\text{int} \times \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int} \stackrel{?}{\leq} (\text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int}$

Yes. All it can do is Π_1, Π_2 . Can handle any subtype of $\text{int} \times \text{int} \times \text{int}$

$$\frac{\begin{matrix} \tau_1 \leq \tau_1 & \text{contravariant} \\ \tau_2 \leq \tau_2 & \text{"fewer requirements"} \\ \end{matrix} \wedge \begin{matrix} \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 & \text{covariant} \\ \tau_2 \leq \tau_1 & \text{"more promises"} \\ \end{matrix}}{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \leq \tau_1' \rightarrow \tau_2'} \text{(SUB-FUN)}$$

Progress, Preservation basically the same

Canonical Forms (Old)

...

n. If $\vdash e : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$ and eval then $e = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$

Canonical Forms (w/subtyping)

n. If $\vdash e : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$ and eval then $e = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ for $m \geq n$

Lemma: If $\tau \leq \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$ then $\tau = \tau'_1 \times \dots \times \tau'_m$ for $m \geq n$

By induction on the deriv of $\tau \leq \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$

Pf of CF. By induction on the derivation of $\vdash e : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$

For $e = e_1, \dots, e_k$:

$\vdash (e_1, \dots, e_k) : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$ By inversion, $e_1 \text{ val}, \dots, e_n \text{ val}$ ✓

$\frac{\vdash e : \tau' \quad \tau' \leq \tau}{\vdash e : \tau} (\text{SUB})$ By lemma, $\tau' = \tau'_1 \times \dots \times \tau'_m$ for $m \geq n$.
By induction, $e = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ for $k \geq m \geq n$. □