

Stack Machines

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{fst}(7,8) \mapsto 7 \\ \hline (\lambda x.x)(\text{fst}(7,8)) \mapsto (\lambda x.x)7 \\ \hline (6, (\lambda x.x)(\text{fst}(7,8))) \mapsto (6, (\lambda x.x)7) \\ \hline (5, (6, (\lambda x.x)(\text{fst}(7,8)))) \mapsto (5, (6, (\lambda x.x)7)) \end{array}$$

"search" for the exp that can step... and then find your way back.
Sounds kinda like a call stack...



"Abstract machine" - low-level model of comp.
No search rules - all step rules are axioms
Stack machine - model search as stack

Remember: $s \mapsto s'$ Now a state has a stack
state

2 types of states:

$k \triangleright e$

"evaluate e"

$k \triangleleft v$

"return v to last stack frame"

$e ::= x() \mid \lambda x. e \mid e e \mid (e, e) \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e$

$\tau ::= \text{mit } (\tau \rightarrow \tau) (\tau \times \tau)$

Frames $f ::= - e \mid e - \mid (-, e) \mid (e, -) \mid \text{fst } - \mid \text{snd } -$

Stack $k ::= \varepsilon \mid k_i f$
↑ ↑
empty stack ext. w/ f

State $s ::= k \triangleright e \mid k \triangleleft v$

$(s_i (6, (\lambda x. x) (\text{fst } (7, 8))))$

$(s_i -); (6, -); (\lambda x. x) -; \text{fst } - \triangleright (7, 8)$

or $(s_i -); (6, -) \triangleleft (\lambda x. x) (\text{fst } (7, 8))$

or ...

$k \triangleright () \mapsto k \triangleleft ()$ No eval. to do on values

$k \triangleright \lambda x. e \mapsto k \triangleleft \lambda x. e$

$k \triangleright e_1 e_2 \mapsto k_i - e_2 \triangleright e_1$ $k_i - e_2 \triangleleft \lambda x. e_1 \mapsto k_i \lambda x. e_1 - \triangleright e_2$

$k_i \lambda x. e_1 - \triangleleft v \mapsto k \triangleright [v/x] e_1$

$k \triangleright (e_1, e_2) \mapsto k_i (-, e_2) \triangleright e_1$

$k_i (-, e_2) \triangleleft v_1 \mapsto k_i (v_1, -) \triangleright e_2$

$k \triangleright (v_1, -) \triangleleft v_2 \mapsto k \triangleleft (v_1, v_2)$

$k \triangleright \text{fst } e \mapsto k_i \text{fst } - \triangleright e$

$k_i \text{fst } - \triangleleft (v_1, v_2) \mapsto k \triangleleft v_1$

$\varepsilon \triangleright (s_i (6, (\lambda x. x) (\text{fst } (7, 8)))) \mapsto \varepsilon_i (-, (6, \dots)) \triangleright s \mapsto \varepsilon_i (-, (6, \dots)) \triangleleft s$

$\mapsto^* \dots (s_i -); (6, -); (\lambda x. x) -; \text{fst } - \triangleleft (7, 8)$

$\mapsto (s_i -); (6, -); (\lambda x. x) - \triangleleft ?$

$\mapsto (s_i -); (6, -) \triangleleft ?$

$\mapsto^* (s_i (6, ?))$

Progress, Preservation? Need types for stacks

$f: \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau'$ f "takes τ to τ' "

$K \triangleleft: \tau$ K "accepts a τ "

$$\frac{}{\varepsilon \triangleleft: \tau} \quad \frac{K \triangleleft: \tau' \quad f: \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau'}{K; f \triangleleft: \tau} \quad \frac{K \triangleleft: \tau \quad \bullet e: \tau}{K \circ e \circ K} \quad \frac{K \triangleleft: \tau \quad \bullet e: \tau \text{ eval}}{K \circ e \circ K}$$

$$\frac{\bullet e_1: \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau' \quad e_1 \text{ val}}{e_1 \text{ -- } : \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau'} \quad \frac{\bullet e_2: \tau}{e_2 \text{ -- } (\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau') \rightsquigarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{\bullet e_1: \tau_1 \quad \bullet e_2: \tau_2}{(e_1, e_2) \text{ -- } : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \quad \frac{\bullet e_1: \tau_1 \quad e_1 \text{ val}}{(e_1, \text{--}) \text{ -- } : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}$$

$$\frac{}{fst \text{ -- } : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \tau_1} \quad \frac{}{snd \text{ -- } : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \tau_2}$$

Progress: If $s \text{ ok}$ then $s = \varepsilon \triangleleft v$ and $v \text{ val}$
or $s \text{ -- } s'$

Preservation: If $s \text{ ok}$ and $s \text{ -- } s'$ then $s' \text{ ok}$

Prog:

1. $K \circ e \circ K$. Then $K \triangleleft: \tau$ and $\bullet e: \tau$.

Cont. by induction on structure of e

$e = ()$, $\lambda x. e$, $(v_1, v_2) \Rightarrow K \circ e \circ K \Rightarrow K \triangleleft e$

$e = e_1, e_2 \Rightarrow K \circ e \circ K \Rightarrow K; \text{--} e_1 \circ e_2, \dots$

2. $K \triangleleft e \circ K$. Then $K \triangleleft: \tau$ and $\bullet e: \tau$ and $e \text{ val}$

Cont. by induction on $K \triangleleft: \tau$.

a. $K = \varepsilon$. Then done.

b. $K = K_0; f$ and $K_0 \triangleleft: \tau'$ and $f: \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau'$.

Cont. by induction on $f: \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau'$

- $f = e_1$ — and $e_1: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ and $\tau = \tau_2$ and e_1 val.
By CF, $e_1 = \lambda x. e_0$. $k_0; f \triangleright e \mapsto k_0 \triangleright [e/k]e_0$
- $f = \neg e_2$ and $e_2: \tau_1$ and $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau'$
By CF, $e = \lambda x. e_0$. $k_0; f \triangleright e \mapsto k_0; \lambda x. e_0 \rightarrow \triangleright e_2$

Preservation: By induction on the derivation of $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$

$$k \triangleright e_1, e_2 \mapsto k; \neg e_2 \triangleright e_1$$

By inversion, $\bullet \vdash e_1, e_2: \tau$.

By inversion, $\bullet \vdash e_1: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\bullet \vdash e_2: \tau_1$

$$\neg e_2: (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau, \text{ so } k; \neg e_2 \triangleleft: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } k; \neg e_2 \triangleright e_1 \text{ OK}$$

$$k; \lambda x. e \rightarrow \triangleright v \mapsto k \triangleright [v/x]e$$

By inversion, $\lambda x. e \text{ —}: \tau \rightarrow \tau'$ and $k \triangleleft: \tau'$

By inversion, $\bullet \vdash \lambda x. e: \tau \rightarrow \tau'$

By inversion, $x: \tau \vdash e: \tau'$

By subst., $\bullet \vdash [v/x]e: \tau'$

$$k \triangleright [v/x]e \text{ OK}$$