Types, Expressions, and States

CS 536: Science of Programming, Fall 2021

1. Which of the following expressions are legal or illegal according to the syntax we're using? Assume x, y, z are integer variables and b is an array name.
   a. (if $x > y$ *then* x *else* y)
   b. (if $x < y$ *then -1 else* (if $x = y$ *then 0 else 1*))
   c. (if $y = 0$ *then f else g)*(*17)*
   d. b[*0*][*1]* /* What type must b have for this to be legal? */
   e. b /* Remember we're given that b is an array */

2. Which of the following are legal ways to write out a state? (And if not, why not?)
   a. {x = 5, y = 2}
   b. {x = five, y = one plus one}
   c. {x = 5, y = x minus 3}
   d. {x = 5, y = α - 3} where α = 5
   e. {x = 5, y = (the value of x in this environment minus 3)}
   f. { }

3. Let $e_4 \equiv x = y+1 \wedge y = z^2 - 3 \wedge z = 6$. Write out the textual definition of a state σ_4 in which e_4 evaluates to true. Use only bindings that map variables to constants. σ_4 = { x = 34, y = 33, z = 6 }

5. Which of the following states are well-formed and also proper for the expression $b[i] + 0 * y$? If ill-formed, why? If taking the value might cause a runtime error, why?
   a. {$i = 0$, $b = (3, 4, 8)$, $y = 3$, $z = 5$)
   b. {$i = 0$, $b = (6)$, $y = 5$)
   c. {$i = 0$, $b = 6$, $y = 5$)
   d. {$i = 1$, $b = (3, 4, 8)$)
   e. {$i = 1$, $i = 2$, $y = 0$, $b = (2, 6)$)}
   f. {i = 5, b = (1, 2), y = 4}

CS 536 Solution to Practice 3 (Types, Expressions, and States)

1. (Legal and illegal expressions)
   a. legal
   b. legal
   c. illegal because the conditional expression can't yield a function/operator
   d. *b*[*0*][*1*] is legal (*b* must be a 2-dimensional array)
   e. *b* (all by itself) is illegal, since *b* we've assumed is an array

2. (Legal ways to represent states)
   a. {*x* = 5, *y* = 2} is legal
   b. {*x* = five, *y* = one plus one} is legal because "five" and "one" etc. refer to semantic objects.
   c. {*x* = 5, *y* = *x* minus 3} is illegal: To be legal, "*x* minus 3" has to be a value, so "*x*" has to be a value (it has to be the name of a mathematical object like 5). But the binding *x* = 5 tells us "*x*" is a variable that can appear in an expression, so "*x*" is a syntactic object. It can't be syntactic and semantic at the same time.

      Also, in this nicely word-processed document, "*x*" is presented in *this font*, so we know it's supposed to be a syntactic object. On paper, you see the difference between "x" and "*x*". Even so, if someone wrote { x = 5 , y = x minus 1 } on the blackboard, it would have to be illegal because of using x in two incompatible ways.
   d. {*x* = 5, *y* = α - 3} where α = 5 — is legal. We infer that symbols *x* and *y* are syntactic objects and α is the name of the semantic object 5.
   e. {*x* = 5, *y* = (the value of *x* in this environment, minus 3)} is legal. Since "the value of *x* in this environment" is just another name (albeit complicated) for the mathematical object 5, it's legal to use here.
   f. { } is legal, since it's just another way to write ∅, the empty state.

3. $\sigma_4 = \{z = 6, y = 33, x = 34\}$

4. (Proper states)
   a. (Well-formed and) Proper: The extra binding for z isn't a problem
   b. (Well-formed and) Proper: The value of *b* is an array of length 1.
   c. (Well-formed but) Improper: The value of *b* can't be an integer.

d. (Well-formed but) Improper: We need a binding for *y* even though we're multiplying it by zero. [So our semantics uses eager evaluation, not lazy evaluation.]
e. Ill-formed: We have two bindings for i.
f. (Well-formed and) Proper but causes a runtime error, since *b* has size 2.

