# Syntactic Substitution

## CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2023

#### A. Why

• Syntactic substitution is used in the assignment rules to calculate the weakest precondition (and as we'll see, the strongest postcondition).

### **B.** Objectives

At the end of this activity you should

• Be able to calculate a syntactic substitution on an expression or predicate.

#### C. Questions

- 1. Calculate (x+i\*b+c=0)[i+1/i][b+c/c].
- 2. Let p be  $\exists x. x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k$ 
  - a. What is p[5/x]?
  - b. What is p[5/y]?
  - c. What is p[5/z]?
  - d. What is p[y\*2/y]?
  - e. What is p[y\*k/y]?
  - f. What is  $p[(x+y) \div 2/y]$ ?
- 3. Give an example where (v \* w)[e/v][e'/w] and (v \* w)[e'/w][e/v] are
  - a. Syntactically equal (≡)
  - b. Syntactically unequal  $(\neq)$ .
- 4. In the predicate  $(\exists x. x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k)$ , x is bound, but in  $(x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k)$ , x is free is this a contradiction?
- 5. For substitution into a quantified predicate (Qx,p)[e/v], we could just say "always rename *x* to something fresh." Why do you think we didn't do that?
- 6. Let  $p = (\forall x. \exists y. R(x, y, z)) \land (\exists z. R(x, y, z))$  where R is a boolean function over three arguments.
  - a. What is p[17/w]?
  - b. What is p[17/x]?
  - c. What is p[y\*2/y]?
  - d. What is p[y\*2/z]?
  - e. What is p[a\*z/y][a+b/z]?

#### Solution to Practice 12 (Syntactic Substitution)

- 1.  $(x+i*b+c=0)[i+1/i][b+c/c] \equiv (x+(i+1)*b+c=0)[b+c/c]$  $\equiv x + (i+1) * b + (b+c) = 0$
- 2. Let  $p \equiv \exists x . x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k$ 
  - 2a.  $p[5/x] \equiv p$  unchanged
  - 2b.  $p[5/y] \equiv (\exists x . x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k)[5/y] \equiv \exists x . x < 5 \land x^2 \ge 5 + k$
  - 2c.  $p[5/z] \equiv p$  unchanged because z doesn't occur in p
  - 2d.  $p[y*2/y] \equiv (\exists x . x < y \land x^2 \ge y+k)[y*2/y] \equiv \exists x . x < y*2 \land x^2 \ge y*2+k$
  - 2e.  $p[y*k/y] \equiv (\exists x . x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k)[y*k/y] \equiv \exists x . x < y*k \land x^2 \ge y*k + k$
  - 2f.  $p[(x + y) \div 2/y] \equiv (\exists x . x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k)[(x + y) \div 2/y]$  $\equiv \exists v. (x < y \land x^2 \ge y + k)[v/x][(x + y) \div 2/y]$  (note renaming of x to v)  $\equiv \exists v . (v < y \land v^2 \ge y + k) [(x + y) \div 2/y]$ 
    - $\equiv \exists v . v < (x + y) \div 2 \land v^2 \ge (x + y) \div 2 + k$
- 3. (Cases where (v \* w)[e/v][e'/w] and (v \* w)[e'/w][e/v] are  $\equiv$  and  $\neq$ .)
  - 3a. One case is when v doesn't occur in e' and w doesn't occur in e.

Example: 
$$(v * w)[v*2/v][a*w/w] \equiv (v*2 * w)[a*w/w]$$
  
 $\equiv v*2 *(a*w) \equiv (v *(a*w))[v*2/v]$   
 $\equiv (v * w)[a*w/w][v*2/v]$ 

3b. One case is when w appears in e and v appears in e', at least, for certain e and e'.

Example: 
$$(v * w)[w-3/v][a*v/w] \equiv ((w-3) * w)[a*v/w] \equiv (w-3) * (a*v)$$
  
but  $(v * w)[a*v/w][w-3/v] \equiv (v * (a*v))[w-3/v] \equiv (w-3) * (a*(w-3))$ 

- 4. No, this is exactly what a quantifier does: It captures the x's that are free in its body and makes them bound with respect to any context that includes the quantified predicate.
- 5. Because it's confusing/annoying to have to come up with fresh variables if we don't really need them.
- 6. Substitutions with  $p \equiv (\forall x. \exists y. R(x,y,z)) \land \exists z. R(x,y,z)$ :

```
6a. p[17/w] \equiv p (because w doesn't occur in p)
```

6b. 
$$p[17/x] \equiv (\forall x. \exists y. R(x,y,z)) \land \exists z. R(17,y,z))$$

6c. 
$$p[y*2/y] \equiv (\forall x.\exists y.R(x,y,z)) \land \exists z.R(x,y*2,z))$$

6d.  $p[y*2/z] \equiv (\forall x. \exists v. R(x,y,z)[v/y][y*2/z]) \land \exists z. R(x,y,z))$  (using v as a fresh variable)

$$\equiv (\forall x.\exists v.R(x,v,y*2)) \land \exists z.R(x,y,z))$$

6e. p[a\*z/y][a+b/z]

$$\equiv (\forall x.\exists y.R(x, y, z)) \land \exists v.R(x, y, z)[v/z][a*z/y])[a+b/z]$$
 (using v as a fresh variable)

 $\equiv ((\forall x.\exists y.R(x, y, z)) \land \exists v.R(x, y, v) [a*z/y])[a+b/z]$ (only the first y is quantified)

$$\equiv ((\forall x.\exists y.R(x, y, z)) \land \exists v.R(x, a*z, v)) [a+b/z]$$
  
$$\equiv ((\forall x.\exists y.R(x,y,a+b)) \land \exists v.R(x,a*(a+b),v))$$
 (parens around  $a+b$  are required)

(No renaming necessary because we have no quantification of a or b.)