

Finding Invariants; Array Assignments*

CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2023

Due Wed Apr 26, 11:59 pm

2023-04-25 p.1, 2023-04-26 p.1, 2023-04-29: pp. 2,3

A. Why?

- The hardest part of programming is finding good loop invariants.
- There are heuristics for finding them but no algorithms that work in all cases.
- Array assignments aren't like assignments to plain variables because the actual item to change can't be determined until runtime.

B. Outcomes

After this homework, you should be able to

- Describe the strength connections among the conditions of $\{p_0\} S_0 \{inv\ p\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ od } \{q\}$.
- Describe and use the invariant-finding heuristics "Replace a constant by a variable", "Drop a conjunct" and "Add a disjunct".
- Be able to perform textual substitution to replace an array element.
- Be able to calculate the wp of an array element assignment.

C. Problems [60 points total]

Classes 19 & 20: Finding Invariants [24 points]

1. [3 points] Say we have a postcondition q and are looking for a compatible loop invariant p and test B . Briefly, does p need to be weaker or stronger than q ? How does B fit in? How about initialization?
2. [9 points] Take the postcondition $(0 \leq x \wedge y < m \wedge (b \rightarrow x < m))$ and list all of the candidate invariant/while header combinations you can get using the technique Replace a Constant by a Variable? Assume b , x , and y are variables and m is a constant. [2023-04-25]
3. [9 points] Using the same postcondition, List all of the candidate invariant/while header combinations you can get using the technique Delete a Conjunct.

* This is the last assignment! For the Final Exam, be sure to study the practices for Classes 22 & up.

4. [3 points] Take the candidate invariant/while headers of the previous problem and explain briefly why they can all also be viewed as instances of the technique Add a Disjunct.

Class 21: Array Assignments [36 points]

For these problems, simplify as you go (it will make life easier).

5. [9 points] Calculate $wp(b[x] := y, b[y] \geq b[n])$. Show your calculations.
6. [9 points] Calculate $wp(b[n] := b[x], b[y] > b[n])$. Show your calculations.
7. [18 points] Is the triple $\{b[m] < b[n]\} \ b[b[n]] := b[m] \{b[m] \leq b[n]\}$ valid? I.e., does $(b[m] < b[n]) \rightarrow wp(b[b[n]] := b[m], b[m] \leq b[n])$? Show your calculations.
[2023-04-26]

Solution to Homework 9

Classes 19 & 20: Finding Invariants

1. p needs to be weaker than q because we need to satisfy p before entering the loop (and satisfying q is hard). We need initialization to establish p , preferably with some simple code that sets the loop variables. Obviously B needs to be testable but more generally, $p \wedge B$ needs to be stronger than q so that $p \wedge B \rightarrow q$.
2. $\{inv(z \leq x \wedge y < m \wedge (b \rightarrow x < m))\} \text{ while } z \neq 0$ [2023-04-29]
 $\{inv(0 \leq x \wedge y < z \wedge (b \rightarrow x < m))\} \text{ while } z \neq m$
 $\{inv(0 \leq x \wedge y < m \wedge (b \rightarrow x < z))\} \text{ while } z \neq m$
3. $\{inv(y < m \wedge (b \rightarrow x < m))\} \text{ while } 0 > x$
 $\{inv(0 \leq x \wedge (b \rightarrow x < m))\} \text{ while } y \geq m$
 $\{inv(0 \leq x \wedge y < m)\} \text{ while } \neg(b \rightarrow x < m)$ or the equivalent while $b \wedge x \geq m$.

Class 21: Array Assignments

5. (Calculate the wp of an array assignment)
 $wp(b[x] := y, b[y] \geq b[n])$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv (b[y])[y/b[x]] \geq (b[n])[y/b[x]] \\
&\equiv \text{if } y = x \text{ then } y \text{ else } b[y] \text{ fi} \geq \text{if } n = x \text{ then } y \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi} \quad [2023-04-29] \\
&\text{Has no obviously good simplification} \quad [2023-04-29] \\
&\equiv \text{if } y = x \text{ then } b[y] \geq b[y] \text{ else } b[y] \geq b[x] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow y = x \vee b[y] \geq b[x]
\end{aligned}$$

6. (Calculate the wp of an array assignment)

$$\begin{aligned}
&wp(b[n] := b[x], b[y] \geq b[n]) \quad [2023-04-29] \\
&\equiv (b[y])[b[x]/b[n]] \geq (b[n])[b[x]/b[n]] \\
&\equiv \text{if } y = n \text{ then } b[x] \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi} \geq b[x] \\
&\Leftrightarrow y = n \vee b[n] \geq b[x] \\
&\Leftrightarrow b[x] \geq \text{if } y = n \text{ then } b[x] \text{ else } b[y] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \text{if } y = n \text{ then } b[x] \geq b[x] \text{ else } b[x] \geq b[y] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow y = n \vee b[x] \geq b[y]
\end{aligned}$$

7. (Is $\{b[m] < b[n]\} \ b[b[n]] := b[m] \ \{b[m] \leq b[n]\}$ valid?)

It's sufficient to show that the precondition implies the wp of the assignment and postcondition. I.e.,

$$(b[m] < b[n]) \rightarrow wp(b[b[n]] := b[m], b[m] \leq b[m])$$

First let's calculate the wp:

$$\begin{aligned}
&wp(b[b[n]] := b[m], b[m] \leq b[n]) \\
&\equiv (b[m] \leq b[n])[b[m]/b[b[n]]] \\
&\equiv (b[m])[b[m]/b[b[n]]] \leq (b[n])[b[m]/b[b[n]]] \\
&\equiv \text{if } m = b[n] \text{ then } b[m] \text{ else } b[m] \text{ fi} \\
&\quad \leq \text{if } n = b[n] \text{ then } b[m] \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow b[m] \leq \text{if } n = b[n] \text{ then } b[m] \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \text{if } n = b[n] \text{ then } b[m] \leq b[m] \text{ else } b[m] \leq b[n] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \text{if } n = b[n] \text{ then } T \text{ else } b[m] \leq b[n] \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \text{if } (n = b[n]) \wedge T \vee (n \neq b[n]) \wedge (b[m] \leq b[n]) \text{ fi} \\
&\Leftrightarrow n = b[n] \vee b[m] \leq b[n] \text{ or} \\
&\Leftrightarrow n \neq b[n] \rightarrow b[m] \leq b[n] \text{ (they're equivalent)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now that we know the wp, we can say triple is valid if

$$\begin{aligned}
&(b[m] < b[n]) \rightarrow wp(b[b[n]] := b[m], b[m] \leq b[m]) \\
&\Leftrightarrow (b[m] < b[n]) \rightarrow (n = b[n] \vee b[m] \leq b[n])
\end{aligned}$$

which is true.