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3. Why matching and mapping?

• **Problem: Schema Heterogeneity**
  – Sources with different schemas store overlapping information
  – Want to be able to translate data from one schema into a different schema
    • Datawarehousing
    • Data exchange
  – Want to be able to translate queries against one schema into queries against another schema
    • Virtual data integration
3. Why matching and mapping?

- **Problem: Schema Heterogeneity**
  - We need to know how elements of different schemas are related!
  - **Schema matching**
    - Simple relationships such as attribute name of relation person in the one schema corresponds to attribute lastname of relation employee in the other schema
  - **Schema mapping**
    - Also model correlations and missing information such as links caused by foreign key constraints
3. Why matching and mapping?

• Why both mapping and matching
  – Split complex problem into simpler subproblems
    • Determine matches and then correlate with constraint information into mappings
  – Some tasks only require matches
    • E.g., matches can be used to determine attributes storing the same information in data fusion
  – Mappings are naturally an generalization of matchings
3. Overview

• Topics covered in this part
  – Schema Matching
  – Schema Mappings and Mapping Languages
3.1 Schema Matching

**Problem: Schema Matching**

- Given two (or more schemas)
  - For now called **source** and **target**
- Determine how elements are related
  - Attributes are representing the same information
    - **name** = **lastname**
  - Attribute can be translated into an attribute
    - **MonthlySalary** * 12 = **Yearly Salary**
  - **1-1** matches vs. **M-N** matches
    - **name** to **lastname**
    - **name** to **concat(firstname, lastname)**
3.1 Schema Matching

• Why is this hard?
  – Insufficient information: schema does not capture full semantics of a domain
  – Schemas can be misleading:
    • E.g., attributes are not necessarily descriptive
    • E.g., finding the right way to translate attributes not obvious
3.1 Schema Matching

• What information to consider?
  – Attribute names
    • or more generally element names
  – Structure
    • e.g., belonging to the same relation
  – Data
    • Not always available

• Need to consider multiple types to get reasonable matching quality
  – Single types of information not predictable enough
3.1 Schema Mapping

Example: Types of Matching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Office-phone</th>
<th>Office-address</th>
<th>Home-phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>(312) 123 4343</td>
<td>Chicago, IL 60655</td>
<td>(333) 323 3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>(312) 555 7777</td>
<td>Chicago, IL 60633</td>
<td>(123) 323 3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>(465) 123 1234</td>
<td>New York, NY 55443</td>
<td>(888) 323 3344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 Schema Mapping

Example: Types of Matching

Based on element names we could match Office-contact to both Office-phone and Office-address.

Based on data we could match Office-contact to both Office-phone and Home-phone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Office-phone</th>
<th>Office-address</th>
<th>Home-phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(312) 123 4343</td>
<td>Chicago, IL 60655</td>
<td>(333) 323 3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(312) 555 7777</td>
<td>Chicago, IL 60633</td>
<td>(123) 323 3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(465) 123 1234</td>
<td>New York, NY 55443</td>
<td>(888) 323 3344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 Schema Matching

- Typical Matching System Architecture

- Match Selector
  - Determine actual matches

- Constraint Enforcer
  - Use constraints to modify similarity matrix

- Combiner
  - Combine individual similarity matrices

- Each matcher uses one type of information to compute similarity matrix
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Matcher**
  - **Input:** Schemas
    - Maybe also data, documentation
  - **Output:** Similarity matrix
    - Storing value \([0,1]\) for each pair of elements from the source and the target schema
3.1 Schema Matching

• Name-Based Matchers
  – String similarities measures
    • E.g., Jaccard and other measure we have discussed
  – Preprocessing
    • Tokenization?
    • Normalization
      – Expand abbreviations and replace synonyms
    • Remove stop words
      – In, and, the
3.1 Schema Mapping

Example: Types of Matching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Id</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Office-contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Office-phone</th>
<th>Office-address</th>
<th>Home-phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office-contact</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Data-Based Matchers**
  - Determine how similar the values of two attributes are
  - Some techniques
    - Recognizers
      - Dictionaries, regular expressions, rules
    - Overlap matcher
      - Compute overlap of values in the two attributes
    - Classifiers
3.1 Schema Matching

- Recognizers
  - Dictionaries
    - Countries, states, person names
  - Regular expression matchers
    - **Phone numbers:** \(+\d{2}\)? \((\d{3}\)\) \d{3} \d{4}
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Overlap of attribute domains**
  - Each attribute value is a token
  - Use set-based similarity measure such as Jaccard

- **Classifier**
  - Train classifier to identify values of one attribute A from the source
    - Training set are values from A as positive examples and values of other attributes as negative examples
  - Apply classifier to all values of attributes from target schema
    - Aggregate into similarity score
3.1 Schema Matching

• Combiner
  – **Input:** Similarity matrices
    • Output of the individual matchers
  – **Output:** Single Similarity matrix
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Combiner**
  - Merge similarity matrices produced by the matchers into a single matrix
  - Typical strategies
    - Average, Minimum, Max
    - Weighted combinations
    - Some script
3.1 Schema Matching

• **Constraint Enforcer**
  - **Input:** Similarity matrix
  - **Output:** Similarity matrix
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Constraint Enforcer**
  - Determine most probably match by assigning each attribute from source to one target attribute
    - Multiple similarity scores to get likelihood of match combination to be true
  - Encode domain knowledge into constraints
    - **Hard constraints**: Only consider match combinations that fulfill constraints
    - **Soft constraints**: violating constraints results in penalty of scores
      - Assign cost for each constraint
  - Return combination that has the maximal score
3.1 Schema Matching

**Example: Constraints**

**Constraint 1:** An attribute matched to `source.cust-phone` has to get a score of 1 from the phone regexpr matcher.

**Constraint 2:** Any attribute matched to `source.fax` has to have fax in its name.

**Constraint 3:** If an attribute is matched to `source.firstname` with score > 0.9 then there has to be another attribute from the same target table that is matched to `source.lastname` with score > 0.9.
3.1 Schema Matching

- **How to search match combinations**
  - Full search
    - Exponentially many combinations potentially
  - Informed search approaches
    - A* search
  - Local propagation
    - Only local optimizations
3.1 Schema Matching

- **A* search**
  - Given a search problem
    - Set of states: start state, goal states
    - Transitions about states
    - Costs associated with transitions
    - Find cheapest path from start to goal states
  - Need admissible heuristics $h$
    - For a path $p$, $h$ computes lower bound for any path from start to goal with prefix $p$
  - Backtracking best-first search
    - Choose next state with lowest estimated cost
    - Expand it in all possible ways
3.1 Schema Matching

• A* search
  – Estimated cost of a state $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$
    • $g(n)$ = cost of path from start state to $n$
    • $h(n)$ = lower bound for path from $n$ to goal state
  – No path reaching the goal state from $n$ can have a total cost lower than $f(n)$
3.1 Schema Matching

• Algorithm
  – Data structures
    • Keep a priority queue $q$ of states sorted on $f(n)$
      – Initialize with start state
    • Keep set $v$ of already visited nodes
      – Initially empty
  – While $q$ is not empty
    • pop state $s$ from head of $q$
    • If $s$ is goal state return
    • Foreach $s'$ that is direct neighbor of $s$
      – If $s'$ not in $v$
      – Compute $f(s')$ and insert $s'$ into $q$
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Application to constraint enforcing**
  - Source attributes: $A_1$ to $A_n$
  - Target attributes: $B_1$ to $B_m$
  - States
    - Vector of length $n$ with values $B_i$ or * indicating that no choice has not been taken
    - $[B_1, *, *, B_3]$
  - Initial state
    - $[*$, $*, *, *]$
  - Goal states
    - All states without *
3.1 Schema Matching

- **Match Selector**
  - **Input:** Similarity matrix
  - **Output of the individual matchers**
  - **Output:** Matches
3.1 Schema Matching

• Match Selection
  – Merge similarity matrices produced by the matchers into single matrix
  – Typical strategies
    • Average, Minimum, Max
    • Weighted combinations
    • Some script
3.1 Schema Matching

• Many-to-many matchers
  – Combine multiple columns using a set of functions
    • E.g., concat, +, currency exchange, unit exchange
  – Large or even unlimited search space
  – \(\rightarrow\) need method that explores interesting part of the search space
  – Specific searchers
    • Only concatenation of columns (limit number of combinations, e.g., 2)
3. Overview

• Topics covered in this part
  – Schema Matching
  – Schema Mappings and Mapping Languages
### Example: Matching Result

Assume: We have data in the source as shown above

What data should we create in the target? Copy values based on matches?
• Matches do not determine completely how to create the target instance data! *(Data Exchange)*
  – How do we choose values for attributes that do not have a match?
  – How do we combine data from different source tables?

• Matches do not determine completely what the answers to queries over a mediated schema should be! *(Virtual Data Integration)*
### 3.2 Schema Mapping

How do we know that we should join tables **Person** and **Address** to get the matching address for a name?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Office-contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What values should we use for **Office-address** and **Home-phone**?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Office-contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>(312) 123 4343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>(312) 555 7777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>(465) 123 1234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Example:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Matching</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Matching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td></td>
<td>Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td></td>
<td>Id</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office-contact</td>
<td></td>
<td>Office-contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Schema mappings**
  - Generalize matches
  - Describe relationship between instances of schemas
  - Mapping languages
    - LAV, GAV, GLAV
    - Mapping as Dependencies: tuple-generating dependencies

- **Mapping generation**
  - **Input**: Matches, Schema constraints
  - **Output**: Schema mappings
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Instance-based definition of mappings**
  - Global schema $G$
  - Local schemas $S_1$ to $S_n$
  - Mapping $M$ can be expressed as for each set of instances of the local schemas what are allowed instances of the global schema
    - Subset of $(I_G \times I_1 \times \ldots \times I_n)$
  - Useful as a different way to think about mappings, but not a practical way to define mappings
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Certain answers
  – Given mapping $M$ and $Q$
  – Instances $I_1$ to $I_n$ for $S_1$ to $S_n$
  – Tuple $t$ is a certain answer for $Q$ over $I_1$ to $I_n$
    • If for every instance $I_G$ so that $(I_G \times I_1 \times \ldots \times I_n)$ in $M$
      then $t$ in $Q(I_G)$
3.2 Schema Mapping

- Languages for Specifying Mappings
- Describing mappings as inclusion relationships between views:
  - Global as View (GAV)
  - Local as View (LAV)
  - Global and Local as View (GLAV)
- Describing mappings as dependencies
  - Source-to-target tuple-generating dependencies (st-tgds)
3.2 Schema Mapping

- Describing mappings as inclusion relationships between views:
  - Global as View (GAV)
  - Local as View (LAV)
  - Global and Local as View (GLAV)

- Terminology stems from virtual integration
  - Given a global (or mediated, or virtual) schema
  - A set of data sources (local schemas)
  - Compute answers to queries written against the global schema using the local data sources
3.2 Schema Mapping

- Excursion Virtual Data Integration
  - More in next section of the course
3.2 Schema Mapping

• **Global-as-view (GAV)**
  – Express the global schema as views over the local schemata
  – What query language do we support?
    • CQ, UCQ, SQL, …?

– **Closed vs. open world** assumption
  • Closed world: \( R = Q(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \)
    – Content of global relation \( R \) is defined as the result of query \( Q \) over the sources
  • Open world: \( R \supseteq Q(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \)
    – Relation \( R \) has to contain the result of query \( Q \), but may contain additional tuples
3.2 Schema Mapping

Example: Types of Matching

Local Schema
Person
  Name
  Address

Address
  Id
  City
  Office-contact

Global Schema
Person
  Name
  Address
  Office-phone
  Office-address
  Home-phone

Person(X',Y',Z',A',B')
= Q(X,Z,A, NULL, NULL) :- Person(X,Y), Address(Y,Z,A)

Since heads of LHS and RHS have to be the same we can use simpler notation without the head of the view expression:

Person(X,Z,A, NULL, NULL) = Person(X,Y), Address(Y,Z,A)
3.2 Schema Mapping

Consider switching local and global schema

Person(X,NULL) = Person(X,Y,Z,A,B)
Address(NULL,Y,Z) = Person(X,Y,Z,A,B)

Example: Types of Matching

Local Schema
Person
Name
Address

Address
Id
City
Office-contact

Global Schema
Person
Name
Address
Office-phone
Office-address
Home-phone
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Global-as-view (GAV)

• Solutions (mapping $M$)
  – Unique solutions (1 solution!)
  – Intuitively, execute queries over local instance that produced global instance
3.2 Schema Mapping

- Global-as-view (GAV)
- Answering Queries
  - Simply replace references to global tables with the view definition

- Mapping \( R(X,Y) = S(X,Y), T(Y,Z) \)
- \( Q(X) :- R(X,Y) \)
- Rewrite into
- \( Q(X) :- S(X,Y), T(Y,Z) \)
3.2 Schema Mapping

• **Global-as-view (GAV) Discussion**
  – Hard to add new source
    • -> have to rewrite the view definitions
  – Does not deal gracefully with missing values
  – Easy query processing
    • -> view unfolding
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Local-as-view (LAV)**
  - Express the local schema as views over the global schemata
  - What query language do we support?
    - CQ, UCQ, SQL, …?

- **Closed vs. open world** assumption
  - **Closed world:** $S_{ij} = Q(G)$
    - Content of local relation $S_{ij}$ is defined as the result of query $Q$ over the sources
  - **Open world:** $S_{ij} \supseteq Q(G)$
    - Local relation $S_{ij}$ has to contain the result of query $Q$, but may contain additional tuples
Example: Types of Matching

**Local Schema**
- Person
  - Name
  - Address
- Address
  - Id
  - City
  - Office-contact

**Global Schema**
- Person
  - Name
  - Address
  - Office-phone
  - Office-address
  - Home-phone

Person(X,NULL) = Person(X,Y,Z,A,B)
Address(NULL,Y,Z) = Person(X,Y,Z,A,B)
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Local-as-view (LAV)**
- **Solutions (mapping M)**
  - May be many solutions
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Local-as-view (GAV)**
- **Answering Queries**
  - Need to find equivalent query using only the views (this is a hard problem, more in next course section)
- **Mapping** \( S(X,Z) = R(X,Y), T(Y,Z) \)
- **Q(X) :- R(X,Y)**
- **Rewrite into ???**
  - Need to come up with missing values
  - Give up query equivalence?
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Local-as-view (LAV) Discussion**
  - Easy to add new sources
    - -> have to write a new view definition
    - May take some time to get used to expressing sources like that
  - Still does not deal gracefully with all cases of missing values
    - Loosing correlation
  - Hard query processing
    - Equivalent rewriting using views only
    - Later: give up equivalence
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Global-Local-as-view (GLAV)
  – Express both sides of the constraint as queries
  – What query language do we support?
    • CQ, UCQ, SQL, …?

– **Closed vs. open world** assumption
  • Closed world: \( Q'(G) = Q(S) \)
  • Open world: \( Q'(G) \supseteq Q(S) \)
3.2 Schema Mapping

**Example: Types of Matching**

- **Local Schema**
  - Person
    - Name
    - Address
  - Address
    - Id
    - City
    - Office-contact

- **Global Schema**
  - Person
    - Name
    - Address
    - Office-phone
    - Office-address
    - Home-phone

**Source:** \( Q(X,Y,Z) :=- \text{Person}(X',Y'), \text{Address}(Y',Z',A') \)

**Target:** \( Q(X',Y',Z') :=- \text{Person}(X',Y',Z',A',B') \)
3.2 Schema Mapping

- Local-as-view (GLAV) Discussion
  - Kind of best of both worlds (almost)
  - Complexity of query answering is the same as for LAV
  - Can address the lost correlation and missing values problems we observed using GAV and LAV
3.2 Schema Mapping

- **Source-to-target tuple-generating dependencies (st-tgds)**
  - Local way of expressing GLAV mappings

\[ \forall \bar{x} : \phi(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \exists \bar{y} : \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \]

- Equivalence to a containment constraint:

\[ Q'(G) \supseteq Q(S) \]
3.2 Schema Mapping

Example: Types of Matching

Source: \( Q(X,Y,Z) :- \text{Person}(X',Y'), \text{Address}(Y',Z',A') \)

Target: \( Q(X',Y',Z') :- \text{Person}(X',Y',Z',A',B') \)

\( \forall x, y, z, a : \text{Person}(x, y) \land \text{Address}(y, z, a) \rightarrow \exists b, c : \text{Person}(x, z, a, b, c) \)
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Generating Schema Mappings
  – **Input**: Schemas (Constraints), matches
  – **Output**: Schema mappings

• Ideas:
  – Schema matches tell us which source attributes should be copied to which target attributes
  – Foreign key constraints tell us how to join in the source and target to not loose information
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Clio
  – Clio is a data exchange system prototype developed by IBM and University of Toronto researchers
  – The concepts developed for Clio have been implemented in IBM InfoSphere Data Architect
  – Clio does matching, mapping generation, and data exchange
    • For now let us focus on the mapping generation
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Clio Mapping Generation Algorithm
  – **Inputs**: Source and Target schemas, matches
  – **Output**: Mapping from source to target schema
  – Note, Clio works for nested schemas such as XML too not just for relational data.
    • Here we will look at the relational model part only
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Clio Algorithm Steps
  – 1) Use **foreign keys** to determine all reasonable ways of **joining** data within the source and the target schema
    • Each alternative of joining tables in the source/target is called a logical association
  – 2) For each pair of **source-target logical associations**: Correlate this information with the matches to determine candidate mappings
3.2 Schema Mapping

• **Clio Algorithm: 1) Find logical associations**
  
  – This part relies on the **chase** procedure that first introduced to test implication of functional dependencies (‘77)
  
  – The idea is that we start use a representation of foreign keys are **inclusion dependencies** (tgds)
    - There are also chase procedures that consider **edgs** (e.g., PKs)
  
  – Starting point are all single relational atoms
    - E.g., R(X,Y)
3.2 Schema Mapping

• Chase step
  – Works on tableau: set of relational atoms
  – A chase step takes one tgd t where the LHS is fulfilled and the RHS is not fulfilled
    • We fulfill the tgd t by adding new atoms to the tableau and mapping variables from t to the actually occurring variables from the current tableau

• Chase
  – Applying the chase until no more changes
  – Note: if there are cyclic constraints this may not terminate
3.2 Schema Mapping

• **Clio Algorithm: 1) Find logical associations**
  – Compute chase $R(X)$ for each atom $R$ in source and target
  – Each chase result is a logical association
  – Intuitively, each such logical association is a possible way to join relations in a schema based on the FK constraints
3.2 Schema Mapping

• **Clio Algorithm: 2) Generate Candidate Mappings**
  – For each pair of logical association $A_S$ in the source and $A_T$ in the target produced in step 1
  – Find the matches that are covered by $A_S$ and $A_T$
    • Matches that lead from an element of $A_S$ to an element from $A_T$
  – If there is at least one such match then create mapping by equating variables as indicated by the matches and create st-tgd with $A_S$ in LHS and $A_T$ in RHS
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