

# "Programming" w/ the $\lambda$ -calculus

## Multiple Arguments

$\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. x$

$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{fun } y \rightarrow \text{fun } z \rightarrow x)$   
 $= \text{fun } xyz \rightarrow x$

This approach is called *currying* after Haskell Curry (though he didn't invent it)

## Booleans (Church Booleans)

if  $b$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$   
if true then  $e_1$  else  $e_2 \equiv e_1$   
if false then  $e_1$  else  $e_2 \equiv e_2$

Can only use application

Try: if  $b$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2 \triangleq b e_1 e_2$

What are true and false?

true  $e_1 e_2$  must  $\equiv e_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \triangleq \lambda t. \lambda f. t$

false  $e_1 e_2$  must  $\equiv e_2 \Rightarrow \text{false} \triangleq \lambda t. \lambda f. f$

## Pairs

$\text{fst } (x, y) \equiv x$        $\text{snd } (x, y) \equiv y$

$(x, y) \triangleq$

$\lambda s. s x y$

Which one do you want?

$\text{fst} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$

$\text{snd} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

## Recursion

$\lambda x. xx$  - Applies  $x$  to itself.  
Interesting.

$(\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$

$\mapsto (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$

$\mapsto (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$

$\mapsto \dots$

## Recursion, part 2

Let's say we have numbers (yeah, those can be programmed in  $\lambda$  too)

$\text{fact} \equiv \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * \text{fact } (n-1)$

oops, not defined  
no "let rec" in  $\lambda$ -calculus

Let's take another fact function as an argument

$\text{fact}' \equiv \lambda f \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * f (n-1)$

$\text{fact} \equiv \text{fact}' \text{ fact}$

oops, same problem

Fixed point of a function  $f = \text{value } x \text{ such that } fx = x$

Fixed point combinator: A function "fix"  
such that  $\text{fix } f \equiv f (\text{fix } f)$

Let's say we have a "fix"

$\text{fix } \text{fact}' \equiv \text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}')$   
 $\equiv \text{fact}' (\text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}'))$

Is this good enough?

$\text{fact}' (\text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}'))$   
 $\equiv \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * \underbrace{\text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}')}_{\equiv \text{fact}' } (n-1)$

Looks good

$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x))$  - most famous fixed pt. comb.

$Y f \equiv_{\beta} (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x))$

$\equiv_{\beta} f((\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x)))$

$= f(Y f) \checkmark$