Name

CWID

# **Test Questions**

# Nov 26th

# CS425 - Database Organization Results



 $\operatorname{Sum}$ 

# Part 1.1 Normalization and Functional Dependencies (Total: 0 Points)

Consider the following relation R(A, B, C, D) and functional dependencies F that hold over this relation.

$$\begin{split} F = & A \to B, D \\ & C, D \to B \\ & C \to D \\ & B \to D \end{split}$$

#### Question 1.1.1 (0 Points)

Determine all candidate keys of R.

Solution

 $\{A, C\}$ 

## Question 1.1.2 (0 Points)

Compute the attribute cover of  $X = \{C, B\}$  according to F.

## Solution

$$X^+ = \{B, C, D\}$$

#### Question 1.1.3 (0 Points)

Compute the attribute cover of F. Show each step of the generation according to the algorithm shown in class.

#### Solution

1th iteration: 1) Apply union rule to combine right-hand sides: no union possible

$$F_1 = A \to B, D$$
  $C, D \to B$   $C \to D$   $B \to D$ 

**1th iteration: 2) Find extraneous attribute:** D is extraneous in  $C, D \rightarrow B$ 

$$F_2 = A \to B, D$$
  $C \to B$   $C \to D$   $B \to D$ 

2nd iteration: 1) Apply union rule to combine right-hand sides:

$$F_3 = A \to B, D$$
  $C \to B, D$   $B \to D$ 

**2nd iteration: 2) Find extraneous attribute:** D is extraneous in  $A \rightarrow B, D$ .

 $F_4 = A \to B$   $C \to B, D$   $B \to D$ 

**3rd iteration: 1) Apply union rule to combine right-hand sides:** none apply.

$$F_5 = A \to B$$
  $C \to B, D$   $B \to D$ 

**3rd iteration: 2) Find extraneous attribute:** D is extraneous in  $C \rightarrow B, D$ .

 $F_6 = A \to B$   $C \to B$   $B \to D$ 

4th iteration: 1) + 2) none apply.

$$F_c = A \to B$$
  $C \to B$   $B \to D$ 

#### Question 1.1.4 (0 Points)

In which normal form is relation R (recall that a relation can be in multiple normal forms).

 $\Box$  2NF

□ 3NF

BCNF

#### Question 1.1.5 (0 Points)

If R is not in 3NF then decompose it.

#### Solution

Adding relations for each functional dependency:

$$R_1(A,B) R_2(C,B) R_3(B,D)$$

Add relation to hold candidate key if necessary:

 $R_1(A,B)$   $R_2(C,B)$   $R_3(B,D)$   $R_4(A,C)$ 

Remove contained relations (in this case none)

$$R_1(A,B)$$
  $R_2(C,B)$   $R_3(B,D)$   $R_4(A,C)$ 

#### Question 1.1.6 (0 Points)

If you have composed R in the previous step then determine the candidate keys for each relation created during the decomposition.

#### Solution

Relations are

$$R_1(A,B) R_2(C,B) R_3(B,D) R_4(A,C)$$

Candidate keys are  $R_1 : \{A\}, R_2 : \{C\}, R_3 : \{B\}$ , and  $R_4 : \{A, C\}$ .

#### Part 1.2 Concurrency Control (Total: 0 Points)

## Question 1.2.1 (1 Point)

For each of the following schedules determine which properties this schedule has. E.g., a schedule may be *recoverable* and *cascade-less* (*strict*) or *conflict-serializable*. Consider the following notation for operations of transactions:

- $w_1(A)$  transaction 1 wrote item A
- $r_1(A)$  transaction 1 read item A
  - $c_1$  transaction 1 commits
  - $a_1$  transaction 1 aborts

$$\begin{split} S_1 &= r_1(A), w_2(A), r_1(B), c_1, w_3(B), r_3(B), w_3(A), c_3, r_2(C), c_2 \\ S_2 &= r_1(A), w_2(B), r_1(B), c_1, c_2 \\ S_3 &= r_1(A), w_2(B), c_2, r_1(B), w_1(B), c_1 \\ S_4 &= w_1(A), w_2(A), c_2, w_1(A), c_1 \end{split}$$

 $S_1$  is recoverable

- $S_1$  is cascade-less
- $S_1$  is conflict-serializable
- $S_2$  is recoverable
- $S_2$  is cascade-less
  - $S_2$  is conflict-serializable
- $S_3$  is recoverable
- $S_3$  is cascade-less
- $\square$  S<sub>3</sub> is conflict-serializable
  - $S_4$  is recoverable
  - $S_4$  is cascade-less
  - $S_4$  is conflict-serializable

#### Question 1.2.2 Create a Strict Schedule (8 Points)

Consider the following set of transactions:

$$T_1 = r_1(A), w_1(A), c_1$$
  

$$T_2 = r_2(B), r_2(A), w_2(B), w_2(A), c_2$$
  

$$T_3 = r_3(B), w_3(B)$$

1. Write a cascade-less history involving these three transactions.

#### Solution

Several solutions are correct. For example,

 $S = r_1(a), w_1(A), c_1, r_2(B), r_2(A), w_2(B), w_2(A), c_2, r_3(C), w_3(C)$ 

In a correct solution, if one transaction  $T_i$  writes an item, then the others cannot read nor write the same item until  $T_i$  commits.

## Question 1.2.3 (1 Point)

Check all correct statements below

- In a cascade-less (strict) schedule if a transaction  $T_j$  read a data item written by transaction  $T_i$  then the commit of  $T_i$  has to be before this read operation of  $T_j$
- A recoverable schedule is also cascade-less
- Not all conflict-serializable schedules are also 2PL
- Under 2PL a transaction is split into three phases, a first growing phase, a shrinking phase, and a second growing phase
- Every SS2PL schedule is also 2PL

#### Solution